



AEROSPACE INFORMATION REPORT

AIR6291

Issued 2014-07

Guidelines for Repair Process Evaluation of Aluminum Bonded Structure

RATIONALE

This document is intended to satisfy the need for a checklist of best practices in implementation of tooling, process steps, and quality controls that help to make sure that a previously substantiated repair design and process requirements are met.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	SCOPE.....	4
1.1	Purpose.....	4
2.	APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS.....	4
2.1	SAE Publications.....	4
2.2	Other References.....	5
3.	DEFINITIONS.....	5
4.	GENERAL.....	7
4.1	Overview.....	7
4.2	Process Flows.....	7
4.2.1	Process Flows Overview.....	7
4.2.2	Process Flows Role of Quality Personnel.....	7
4.2.3	Process Flows Recommended Steps.....	7
4.3	Preparation Steps Prior To Repair Accomplishment.....	9
4.3.1	Determine the Part Characteristics.....	9
4.3.2	Determine if the Part Requires Removal.....	10
4.3.3	Assess the Damage.....	13
4.3.4	Remove the Part if Necessary.....	13
4.3.5	Identify the Part Construction.....	14
4.3.6	Make Sure Facilities Are Satisfactory.....	14
4.3.7	Make Sure Personnel Are Qualified.....	14
4.3.8	Remove the Damage.....	15
4.3.9	Inspect the Part for Corrosion.....	17
4.3.10	Confirm Damage is Removed - Select NDI Method.....	19
4.3.11	Confirm Damage is Removed - Make Sure NDI Equipment is Acceptable.....	20
4.3.12	Confirm Damage is Removed - Do the NDI Inspection.....	20
4.3.13	Select Applicable Repair Method.....	20
4.3.14	Assess Facilities.....	22
4.3.15	Procure Materials / Parts / Consumables.....	25
4.3.16	Inspect the Materials / Parts.....	28
4.3.17	Tooling Quality Check.....	33
4.3.18	Schedule Repair Activity.....	36
4.4	Repair Accomplishment.....	37

SAE Technical Standards Board Rules provide that: "This report is published by SAE to advance the state of technical and engineering sciences. The use of this report is entirely voluntary, and its applicability and suitability for any particular use, including any patent infringement arising therefrom, is the sole responsibility of the user."

SAE reviews each technical report at least every five years at which time it may be revised, reaffirmed, stabilized, or cancelled. SAE invites your written comments and suggestions.

Copyright © 2014 SAE International

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of SAE.

TO PLACE A DOCUMENT ORDER: Tel: 877-606-7323 (inside USA and Canada)
 Tel: +1 724-776-4970 (outside USA)
 Fax: 724-776-0790
 Email: CustomerService@sae.org

SAE WEB ADDRESS: <http://www.sae.org>

SAE values your input. To provide feedback on this Technical Report, please visit <http://www.sae.org/technical/standards/AIR6291>

4.4.1	Repair Accomplishment General Steps	37
4.4.2	Prepare Surfaces for Bonding.....	38
4.4.3	Bond-Tool Preparation	43
4.4.4	Bond line Check/Dry Fit Check	43
4.4.5	Staging of Time and Temperature Sensitive (TATS) Materials	49
4.4.6	Lay Up of Repair	50
4.4.7	Layup of Witness Coupons	52
4.4.8	Bag and Cure of Repair	53
4.5	Post Repair Actions and Finishing Sequences.....	55
4.5.1	Post Repair Actions and Finishing Sequences General Steps	55
4.5.2	Remove from Heat Source, Debag and Inspect.....	55
4.5.3	NDI and Mechanical Testing.....	57
4.5.4	Accomplish Finish Sequence to Bond Assembly	58
4.5.5	Reassemble Subcomponent Details and Apply Finish to Assembly	59
4.5.6	Perform Dimensional and Fit Check	60
4.5.7	Accomplish Weight and Balance Procedures.....	60
4.5.8	Fire/Heat protection	60
4.5.9	Acoustic Procedures	62
4.5.10	Identify Repair and Prepare Records	62
5.	NOTES.....	63
APPENDIX A	REPAIR TOOLING DIMENSIONAL REPORT EXAMPLE	64
APPENDIX B	THERMAL AND PRESSURE REPORT EXAMPLE	66
APPENDIX C	STANDARD LEAK TEST EXAMPLE.....	69
APPENDIX D	ALUMINUM WITNESS COUPON SUMMARY	71
FIGURE 1	ROLE INDICATOR KEY FOR MAJOR FLOWCHART SECTIONS	8
FIGURE 2	REPAIR PREPARATION DECISION FLOW.....	8
FIGURE 3	PART REMOVAL DECISION FLOW.....	10
FIGURE 4	A THERMAL CAMERA IDENTIFIES HEAT ABSORPTION AND CONSEQUENT TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN LARGE FITTINGS AND ADJACENT BONDED STRUCTURE.....	11
FIGURE 5	EXAMPLE OF A FITTING THAT IS ASSEMBLED TO A WEDGE ASSEMBLY AFTER THE WEDGE IS INITIALLY FABRICATED.....	12
FIGURE 6	EXAMPLE OF OVERHEATING DURING SKIN REMOVAL	16
FIGURE 7	EXAMPLE OF CORRODED CORE/WEAK BOND/GOOD BOND AREAS	17
FIGURE 8	EXAMPLE OF CORRODED CORE/WEAK BOND/GOOD BOND AREAS	18
FIGURE 9	EXAMINING PEELED SKIN AND RESIDUAL CORE FOR EVIDENCE OF CORROSION	19
FIGURE 10	FOAMING OF ADHESIVE DUE TO THE IMPROPER APPLICATION OF VACUUM PRESSURE.....	20
FIGURE 11	AUTOCLAVE PROCESSED TEST COUPON (LEFT) SHOWS IDEAL ADHESIVE BONDING CONDITION. VACUUM PROCESSED TEST COUPON (RIGHT) SHOWS FAILURES DUE TO FOAMING OF FILM ADHESIVE.....	21
FIGURE 12	FACILITIES DETERMINATION PROCESS FLOW.....	22
FIGURE 13	CATASTROPHIC FAILURE OF A FLIGHT CONTROL WEDGE ASSEMBLY THAT WAS REPAIRED USING ALTERNATE (AND INAPPROPRIATE) SURFACE PREPARATION PROCEDURES.....	24
FIGURE 14	NON-PAA ALUMINUM HONEYCOMB CORE FOUND DISINTEGRATED AFTER LESS THAN 2 YEARS FLIGHT TIME.....	27
FIGURE 15	PARTS CHECK PROCESS FLOW.....	28
FIGURE 16	TOOLING CHECK PROCESS FLOW.....	33
FIGURE 17	EXAMPLE OF A THERMOCOUPLE DIAGRAM	34
FIGURE 18	USAGE OF PLACARDS TO KEEP TRACK OF INSPECTION INTERVALS IS A VISIBLE METHOD OF ENSURING COMPLIANCE TO INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS.....	35
FIGURE 19	REPAIR SCHEDULING PROCESS FLOW.....	36
FIGURE 20	REPAIR IMPLEMENTATION DECISION FLOW.....	37
FIGURE 21	PREPARE SURFACES FOR BONDING FLOW	38
FIGURE 22	FIT CHECK PROCESS FLOW	44
FIGURE 23	EXAMPLE OF NON-UNIFORM CORE IMPRINT.....	45
FIGURE 24	EXAMPLE OF A VERIFICATION FILM TEST CURED OUT AND CIRCLED THICKNESS INDICATIONS IN AREAS THAT APPEAR DARK WHEN EXPOSED TO A LIGHT SOURCE	46
FIGURE 25	TUNNEL VOIDS SHOWN AT THE INTERFACE BETWEEN A TOOL SIDE SKIN AND SPAR FLANGE FOR A WEDGE ASSEMBLY.....	47

FIGURE 26	DESTRUCTIVE ANALYSIS OF A FLAP VANE ASSEMBLY SHOWING THE CURED FILM ADHESIVE BETWEEN THE OUTER SKIN AND INTERNAL CORE AND DOUBLER	48
FIGURE 27	CONTAMINATION CONTROL PROCESS FLOW	50
FIGURE 28	TYPICAL BAGGING SCHEDULE FOR ALUMINUM BONDED REPAIRS	53
FIGURE 29	EXAMPLE OF A THERMOCOUPLE FAILURE DURING REPAIR CURE.....	54
FIGURE 30	POST REPAIR ACTIONS AND FINISHING DECISION FLOW.....	56
FIGURE 31	EXAMPLE OF CONTINUOUS ADHESIVE FLASH ALONG A BOND LINE EDGE.....	57
FIGURE 32	DETAIL OF AN AJ BEING USED TO CHECK FOR ALIGNMENT OF AN ATTACH FITTING FOR A FLIGHT CONTROL ASSEMBLY.....	61